

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,355	01/11/2002	Laurel A. Novacek	968-158	6801
23117 7	590 08/12/2003			
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC		EXAMINER		
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			KENNEDY, SHARON E	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/042,355

Applicant(s)

Novacek et al.

Examiner

Sharon Kennedy

Art Unit 3762



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.						
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, ev	en if timely	filed, may reduce any		
Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·		
2a) 🗌	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>13-19</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 13-15 and 17-19			is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>16</u>		sun t v -	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
٠	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	·		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
		Priority under	JJ U.J.	O. 33 120 0110/01 121.		
Attachm	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmarv (PT	0-413) Paper No(s)		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			nt Application (PTO-152)		
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3, 4	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/042,355

Art Unit: 3762

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action or in the MPEP.

Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species 5, Figures 15-18 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. Claims 13, 15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Vining et al., US 4,507,117.
- 4. Claims 13-15, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Haber et al., US 4,838,870.
- 5. Claims 13-15, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Haller, US 4,692,156.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 16 requires the snap fit and that the needle be threadedly engaged with the distal end of the barrel and the snap elements having surfaces which enable rotation of the plunger to unthread the carrier of the needle. None of the prior art shows or suggests these features. The closest prior art is the patent to DeLuccia, US 4,675,005.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 305-0154.

Sharon Kennedy
Sharon Kennedy
Primary Examiner

August 11, 2003